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Abstract

Using 2 years of observations of carbon monoxide (CO) from the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) on the Aura satellite, we show that spatial distribution, temporal variation and long-range transport of CO in the upper troposphere are closely related to the surface emissions, deep-convection and horizontal winds. The upper tropospheric transport of CO from Southeast Asia across the Pacific to North America occurs most frequently during the northern summer when deep convection associated the Asian monsoon is clustered over the strong anthropogenic emission region.
1. Introduction

Human activities – industrial, agricultural and residential – cause vast quantities of natural and synthetic chemicals to be emitted into the atmosphere. One of these chemicals is carbon monoxide (CO), which is mostly formed by incomplete combustion of fossil and bio-fuels, and by bio-mass burning. The quasi-exponential growth in world population and industrialization over the past decades has led to a rapid growth in fossil fuel and bio-fuel emissions. In developing countries such as China and India, the industrial and residential sectors, consisting of the combustion of coal, kerosene, and bio-fuels in stoves, cookers, and heaters, as well as gasoline in automobiles, generate the majority of the local emissions [Streets et al. 2003]. The total global anthropogenic CO emission is estimated at ~655 Tg/year, of which about 47% is of Asian origin [Bey et al. 2001; Yevich and Logan 2003]. Global biomass-burning emits about 485 Tg/year of CO, of which Asia contributes nearly 30% [Duncan et al. 2003]. CO is also commonly used as a proxy of other pollutants, such as carbonaceous aerosols and black carbon. Although it is mostly emitted at the surface, CO can be rapidly uplifted into mid- and upper troposphere (UT) by convection, where it can be transported around the globe by the circulation. In addition to the emissions at surface, CO is also produced in the atmosphere through oxidation of methane and non-methane hydro-carbons by hydroxyl radical (OH). There are two major sinks of tropospheric CO: removal from the atmosphere through direct oxidation by OH and loss by transport to the stratosphere. The chemical lifetime of CO due to loss by OH varies between 2-8 months depending on latitude and season [e.g., Schindell et al. 2006]. The timescale for transport of CO into the lower stratosphere through upwelling advection in the tropics has been estimated to be several months [Schoeberl et al. 2006, Liu et al. 2007]. Its relatively long life time enables CO to be a good tracer of transport processes, such as the long-range transport of Asian pollutants across the Pacific.
A number of recent field observations have documented that chemical composition over the Western Pacific is frequently perturbed by the pollutant outflow from south Asia [e.g. Huebert et al. 2003; Jacob et al. 2003; Kondo et al. 2002]. There is also evidence from the surface and aircraft observations that shows the Asian pollution influences extend to North America [e.g. Bertschi et al. 2004; Weiss-Penzias et al. 2004; Price et al., 2003, 2004; Jaffe et al. 2003; Kotchenruther et al. 2001], especially during spring and winter seasons. Many studies, however, are largely based on observations at lower, mid-troposphere or tropospheric column. Global observations that target at the UT are limited, where the distribution and variation of CO could be much different from the lower layers. Modeling analyses show that distribution, seasonal variation and transport of CO can vary significantly at different altitudes [e.g. Liang et al. 2003; Stohl et al. 2002], but substantial differences exist between models in which the simulated UT CO exhibits different behaviors in seasonality [e.g. Neary et al. 2007].

The Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) instrument [Waters et al. 2006] on the Aura satellite has been measuring upper tropospheric CO mixing ratios (in ppbv) since August 2004 [Livesey et al. 2007]. Analysis of the MLS CO data has led to several important scientific discoveries including: trapping of polluted air over South Asia [Li et al. 2005]; a ‘short-circuit’ of CO and water vapor (H$_2$O) transport path into the lower stratosphere [Fu et al. 2006]; a CO ‘tape recorder’ signal [Schoeberl et al. 2006] analogous to that first discovered in H$_2$O [Mote et al. 1996]; and the influence of convection on UT composition [Folkins et al., 2006]. The distribution and variations of UT CO are likely influenced by the seasonal variation and spatial patterns of surface emission sources, the seasonal movement of deep convection systems, and the seasonal change of tropospheric winds. The relative importance of these factors and how they connect together remain to be studied. From time to time episodic transport events are observed by MLS. Figure 1 illustrates an example of one such transport event. The MLS observed CO averaged for 1-6 June
2006 at three UT pressure levels are shown, along with horizontal wind vectors at the same levels from the NCEP (National Centers for Environmental Prediction) analyses. The CO measurements are from the MLS version 1.5 dataset [Livesey et al. 2005], and have been screened to make sure they are not affected by clouds. The accuracy of the CO is estimated at ~30% or less for pressures 147 hPa or less. At 215 hPa, there is a persistent factor of ~2 high bias, but the morphology is validated to be realistic [Livesey et al. 2007]. Two features in the CO distribution are clearly visible: the spatial patterns vary with height; and a CO plume extends from South Asia across the Pacific reaching the west coast of United States at 215 hPa. At 100 hPa, the enhanced CO is mostly concentrated over the Tibetan Plateau due to a strong “trapping effect” of the Asian summer monsoon anti-cyclone [Li et al. 2005]; At 147 hPa, the CO enhancements extend eastward to the middle North Pacific; At 215 hPa, a clear outflow of CO rich air is observed to emanate from South Asia, following the mid-latitude westerly jet across the North Pacific and eventually penetrating the western United States. Such CO enhancements and long-range transport events are observed sporadically in the MLS observations. Quantifying the seasonal variation of these transport events is important in order to characterize the processes controlling UT CO concentrations, and variability.

In this paper, we address the above issues using two-year (2004-2006) MLS CO observations with a focus on the Southeast Asian and North Pacific regions. Using a CO emission climatology, MLS cloud ice water content (IWC, Version 1.5), as well as outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) and winds from the NCEP analyses, we estimate the impact of surface emission, convection and tropospheric winds on the distribution and variation of CO in the UT, while also highlighting the seasonal variability of long-range transport of Asian pollutants across North Pacific. Description and validation of MLS CO and IWC data can be found in Livesey et al. [2007] and Wu et al. [2007] and thus are not discussed here.
2. Surface Emissions

We first examine the surface emissions of CO: their spatial distribution, seasonal changes and how CO may disperse immediately after release. In Figure 2, the color shading in the bottom two panels (a) and (b) shows the surface fluxes of CO from biomass-burning and anthropogenic sources, respectively. The biomass-burning emissions (Figure 2a) are from the climatological inventory described in Duncan et al. [2003]. Biomass burning has a strong seasonal variation, which oscillates from the northern to the southern sub-tropics over the course of a year. From October to April when the tropical rain belt associated with the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) lies mostly in the southern hemisphere, the northern sub-tropics are under the influence of the descending branch of the Hadley cell and experience mostly dry conditions. Hence, forest fires frequently occur over the South Asia landmass, especially during second half of this “dry” period (in winter and spring). From May to September, the ITCZ lies in the northern hemisphere and is accompanied by active monsoonal rainfall, which suppresses biomass burning during this “wet” period. The anthropogenic emissions shown are from climatology inventory described in Bey et al. [2001] and Yevich and Logan [2003], which consists of mainly fossil fuel combustion (e.g. coal-fired power plans) and bio-fuels. These anthropogenic emissions are located at human population centers and are relatively stable with little seasonal variations (Figure 2b).

To illustrate how the CO-loaded air may be dispersed and transported after being released from the source region, sea-level-pressures (SLP) and near-surface (900 hPa) horizontal winds from NCEP analyses are over-plotted in Figure 2 (a) and (b), respectively. Low SLP indicates the regions of convergence and ascent, whereas high SLP shows region of divergence and subsidence. The near-surface winds give an indication of the direction in which the emitted CO could disperse in the boundary layer and lower troposphere. In January, strong north-westerlies would lead to transport of the Asian anthropogenic CO to North Pacific in the lower troposphere (see wind
contours in Figure 2b, Jan06), but it is unlikely to be lifted up to the UT because of the strong subsidence over Asia, indicated by the high SLP contours in Figure 2a (Jan06). In July, the westerly winds are the weakest compared to other seasons and are located further north between 30°N and 50°N. There is also strong ascent associated with the Asian summer monsoon convection that can loft CO into the mid-troposphere and UT. Spring and fall seasons represent transition periods where the mid-latitude westerlies are stronger than those of summer but convection over Asia is modest. In April, eastward winds occur throughout latitudes between 10°N and 50°N with moderate ascending air at equatorial South Asia, favoring upward transport of Asian CO. In the fall, the eastward winds are located further north at latitudes poleward of 30°N, far away from the tropical convection zone, a condition under which the vertical transport of CO may be limited.

3. Deep Convection

Deep convection is a process that can cause rapid injection of boundary layer CO into the UT. Figure 2 (c) shows the MLS observed IWC, over-plotted with NCEP 240 W/m² OLR contour. Regions with large MLS IWC are generally collocated with regions of low OLR, so that we can use IWC as a good indicator of deep-convection [Su et al. 2006]. The strength and location of deep convection (shown by large IWC) vary considerably from season to season. From winter to summer, the maximum of the tropical convection migrates northward from the Western Pacific (just south of the equator) to South and Southeast Asian. During summer, strong convection is associated with Asian summer monsoon (ASM) with the largest IWC at northern subtropics over the landmass of North India, South and Southeast China. The summer-time winds there are characterized by a strong convergence at the lower troposphere and a divergence in the UT. The latter is associated with the South Asian anticyclone (SAA), as a response to the elevated surface heating over the Tibetan Plateau and monsoonal diabatic heating. The associated monsoon
circulation and the SAA result in rapid vertical transport of polluted boundary layer air in this region. This convective pattern usually starts in late spring and lasts throughout the summer until the convective activity retreats southward to the ocean in mid-fall.

4. Upper Tropospheric CO

Figure 2 (d), (e) and (f) show monthly means of MLS measured CO at 215, 147 and 100 hPa pressure levels over-plotted with the NCEP horizontal winds at the same height and during the same period. Clearly there is a high degree of seasonal variability in upper tropospheric CO. In January, even though there is biomass burning emission in Southern Asia, but convective activity is located further south in the maritime continent, and no significant amount of CO emissions are lifted into the UT over Southern Asia. In April, the convection pattern shifts northward, partially overlapping with the Southern Asia biomass burning region. However, the center of strong deep convection is still located further south, resulting in only moderate CO enhancement in the UT over Southern Asia. Outflow of CO from Southern Asia can be seen at 215 and 147 hPa pressure levels, indicating transport of upper tropospheric air into North Pacific. In July, heavy precipitation extinguishes most of the biomass burning in South Asia region. At same time, deep convection over land associated with the ASM carries large amount of anthropogenically polluted boundary layer air into the UT, resulting in high concentrations of CO over South Asia. The SAA is located over the Tibetan Plateau, with strong northeasterly winds on the southern flank of the Plateau. At 147 to 100 hPa, elevated concentrations of CO are observed over India and across central and eastern China, where the upper level anticyclone prevails. Enhanced CO concentrations also extend from Southeast Asia to the Arabian Sea, transported by the strong easterly winds to the south of the SAA. The existence of SAA prevents rapid outflow of the accumulated CO over the region [Randel and Park 2006], a phenomena termed SAA “trapping effect”. The CO observations from MLS are broadly consistent with the large-scale wind patterns (Figure 2e and 2f, July 06).
215 hPa (Figure 1d, July 06), the anti-cyclonic flow is weaker than that at upper levels. Substantial CO enhancement in the Pacific can be seen at this altitude, indicating long-range transport of CO rich air by westerly winds. Further north in Eurasia (~60ºN, Fig. 1a), there is bio-mass burning but its influence on UT CO is limited due to the weak convection in that region. The amount of upper tropospheric CO in the fall season is lower than that in summer, but somewhat higher than those in spring and winter.

5. Seasonality of Long-range Transport

To first order, the seasonal variation of the Asian pollution outflow in the UT to North Pacific reflects mainly the seasonality of deep convection and mid-latitude winds as the large anthropogenic emissions do not vary much with season. But there are also impacts of the biomass burning in the tropics which varies with season.

Figure 3 shows the seasonal variation of UT CO and its connection with deep convection and surface emission sources. We consider three separate regions: Southeast Asia (SEA), which contains most of the emission sources; the Northwest Pacific (NWP), located downwind from the emission sources, offshore of China; and the Northeast Pacific (NEP), which is further downstream close to the North America coast. The upper panels in Figure 3 illustrate the monthly mean quantities of MLS measured CO anomaly (thick green-line) and IWC (blue-line), both at 147 hPa, and NCEP OLR minus 240 W/m² (black-line). All quantities are normalized so that the maximum absolute value is 1. The CO anomaly refers to the departure of monthly mean CO from its 2-year mean. The lower panels are the monthly mean CO emissions from the biomass burning (dashed-line) and anthropogenic (solid-line) sources.

The seasonal variability in UT CO over the SEA closely follows the seasonal cycle of deep-convection indicated by OLR and IWC. The upper panel of Figure 3a shows that the CO over the Southeast Asia reaches the maximum in the mid-summer (July), when the convection is the
strongest. The biomass burning in SEA peaks in March but is smallest in summer and fall (see lower panel of Figure 3a). Therefore the maximum UT CO loading in summer months above the SE Asia is mostly from anthropogenic emissions, which are almost constant throughout the year. The scatter plots shown in Figure 4a further illustrate this convection influence on the 147 hPa CO loading. For the SEA region with abundant anthropogenic emission, there is a clear positive correlation between the observed CO and IWC, with a correlation coefficient of 0.6, statistically significant above the 95% level. This indicates a clear signal of convective transport of CO rich air in the UT.

In the NWP just off the east coast of China and Japan, there is little CO emission over the ocean surface, except from some scattered islands. Also there is smaller variation of convection in NWP compared to that in SEA, as indicated by the smaller changes in the OLR. Nevertheless, a weaker but still positive correlation exists between the CO and IWC (Figure 4b) at 147 hPa with correlation factor of 0.3, and the seasonal variation of CO occurs at the same phase as IWC - peaks in summer and dips in winter (Figure 3b). This may suggest that the outflow of CO rich air and the detrainment of cirrus clouds are from the same source region of SEA and are transported by westerly winds.

Over the NEP just west of the U.S. west coast, there is neither biomass burning nor anthropogenic emission sources. The variation of CO is not correlated with local convection as illustrated both by the time-series (Figure 3c) and by the scatter plots (Figure 4c). The correlation coefficient between the CO and IWC is less than 0.07. The seasonal variation of CO there is thus likely controlled by the long-range transport from SEA. It is also interesting to see that from NWP to NEP, the CO maximum becomes broader – it lasts longer into September, 2 months after peak CO in SEA. It usually takes a few days to a week for air to travel across the Pacific. The 2-month duration of the CO maximum is thus not the time scale for traveling across the Pacific but could
imply the residence lifetime of CO is about 2 months as the CO rich air meanders in the UT for about 2-month before it dissipates.

6. Conclusion

We have presented MLS UT CO observations in 2004-2006 with a focus on the Southeast Asia and the North Pacific region, and examined the connection of the observed UT CO pattern to surface emission and deep convection. The relationship between surface emission, deep-convection and long-range transport and their impact on the UT CO loadings, is summarized in Figure 5, in which the monthly mean MLS CO mixing ratios at 147 hPa gridded on the $8^\circ$(longitude) $\times$ $4^\circ$(latitude) grid-boxes in the northern hemisphere ($0^\circ$-$90^\circ$N) from September 2004 to September 2006 are binned according to the total (anthropogenic and biomass burning) CO surface emissions (x-axis) and the MLS IWC (y-axis). In general, the CO mixing ratio is high when convection is strong, indicated by the ascending arrow to the left of the y-axis. With the presence of deep convection, CO concentration roughly increases with increasing surface emission (see the arrow above the figure). When deep convection is absent, the UT CO is generally low and bears little connection with surface emissions. Thus the variation of the UT CO may result from long-range transport, as indicated by the dashed arrow near the x-axis. Interestingly, the 4 corners in Figure 5 represent four typical regimes of CO variability in the UT, each of which bears a distinct character of the relations among surface emission, deep convection, and horizontal transport of CO.

Regime I: Both surface emission and convection are strong; the maximum UT CO concentrations in this regime result from vigorous convective deposition of surface emission. SEA in summer is a typical Regime I, where strong deep convection is coupled with anthropogenic emission.

Regime II: There is strong convection but very little surface emission; the high CO in this regime may come from transport at the same height or convective lifting of lower level CO that
transported from other source regions. The NWP region shown in Figure 4b shows some characteristics of this regime. On daily basis, there are also regions with low CO concentration and zero surface emission but considerable convection, which are not shown in Figure 5 (Note the log-scale x-axis does not start at zero).

**Regime III:** There is no convection and no surface emission; the CO enhancements in this regime may indicate long-range transport of CO rich air from other regions at same altitude. The NE P shown in Figure 4c belongs to this category.

**Regime IV:** The surface emissions are strong but no convection is present so that a relatively small fraction of emissions make it to the 147 hPa level. The lack of CO enhancement in this regime means that horizontal transport of CO is also limited. For example, the Eurasia region in July 06 (Figure 1a, Jul 06) experienced a strong bio-mass burning, but no CO enhancement (Figure 1e), due to lack of deep convection and horizontal transport.

Based on MLS CO observations, the highest CO concentrations in the northern hemisphere UT occur in summer when deep convection reaches peak intensity. At that time, the surface emission of CO is dominated by anthropogenic source. During winter and spring, the CO concentration in the UT is relatively weak despite of strong biomass-burning events, mainly due to the lack of deep convection directly over the emission source. The UT CO observed by MLS thus includes a clear fingerprint of Asian anthropogenic pollution in the global circulation and air quality.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology supported by NASA. We thank Joe Waters, Michelle Santee, William Read, Dong Wu and all other colleagues in the MLS Science Team for support, as well as Qinbin Li and Chenxia Cai for useful discussions. LN and JCM wish to thank the Canadian Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Science for support via MAQNet grant.
References


Price H.U., D.A. Jaffe, O.R. Cooper, and P.V. Doskey (2004), Photo-chemistry, ozone production, and

Randel W.J. and M. Park, Deep convective influence on the Asian summer monsoon anticyclone and


Shindell, D. T., et al., Multimodel simulations of carbon monoxide: Comparison with observations and


Streets D. G., et al., An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000

Waters, J. W. et al., The Earth Observing System Microwave Limb Sounder (EOS MLS) on the Aura


Yevich R., J. A. Logan, An assessment of biofuel use and burning of agricultural waste in the
Figure 1. MLS measured CO mean mixing ratios for 1-6 June 2006 at three upper tropospheric pressure levels. The white arrows are the mean NCEP vector winds (U,V) at the same pressure levels. The data averaged on 8°×4° longitude by latitude grids.
Figure 2. From left to right columns are monthly mean values (January, April, July, October 2006) averaged on $8^\circ \times 4^\circ$ longitude by latitude grids. From bottom panel and up: (a) Bio-mass burning emissions of CO (color-filled contour) and NCEP sea-level-pressures (line-contour); (b) Anthropogenic CO emissions (color-filled contour) and the 900 hPa vector winds (U+V) from NCEP analysis; (c) MLS measured IWC at 215 hPa and the 240 W/m$^2$ OLR contours in white line contours for the tropical region from NCEP (low OLR in the high-latitude are not shown); (d), (e) and (f) are MLS measured CO mixing ratios at 215hPa, 147hPa, and 100hPa pressure levels, respectively, over plotted by NCEP vector winds at same pressures levels.
Figure 3: Top-panels: Time evolution of monthly mean 147 hPa MLS CO anomaly (thick green-line), 147 hPa MLS IWC (blue-line), and NCEP OLR above 240 W/m² (black-line). Lower panels: Time evolution of monthly mean CO emissions from biomass burning (dashed-line) and anthropogenic (solid-line) sources. All data are normalized monthly mean quantities on 8° × 4° longitude by latitude grids.
Figure 4: Scatter plots of MLS CO versus IWCs at 147 hPa for Southeast Asia (Lat: 5°-45°N; Lon: 80°-120°), Northwest Pacific (Lat: 20°-45°N; Lon: 140°-170°) and Northeast Pacific (Lat: 20°-45°N; Lon: 190°-220°). All data are from monthly means from September 2004 to September 2006 on 8°×4° longitude by latitude grids.
Figure 5: Contour plots of MLS CO mixing ratio at 147 hPa binned according to the total surface emission and MLS IWC amount at same pressure. All data are monthly mean values from September 2004 to September 2006 on $8^\circ \times 4^\circ$ longitude by latitude grids.