

Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) Derived Meteorological Products (DMPs)

Data Usage and Format Description

Contact:

Gloria Manney
NorthWest Research Associates
New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology
manney@nwra.com

Luis Millán
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology
luis.f.millan@jpl.nasa.gov

Document Version **1.0**

©2025 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Document Revision History

version 1.0: Original version

Acknowledgment

The research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). G.L. Manney was supported by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) Microwave Limb Sounder team under JPL subcontract #1521127 to NorthWest Research Associates, and by NASA ROSES-AST Grant 80NSSC23K1007.

1 Introduction

This document describes the derived meteorological products (DMPs) for the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder [MLS, e.g., *Waters et al.*, 2006; *Livesey et al.*, 2022] Level 2 dataset. The DMPs are meteorological fields interpolated to the measurements’ times and locations, as well as several products derived from those meteorological fields, such as static stability and equivalent latitude (EqL). As described in *Manney et al.* [2007], interpolation of the meteorological fields is done linearly in time and bilinearly in latitude and longitude. Vertical interpolations are linear in $\log(\theta)$ for potential vorticity (PV) or in $\log(\text{pressure})$ for the other products. EqL is calculated on standard isentropic surfaces (chosen to have resolution comparable to the reanalyses being used) and interpolated linearly in $\log(\theta)$.

The DMPs also include tropopause characterizations. That is, at each measurement location, the dynamical (DYN) tropopause is identified using three PV values (2.0, 3.5, and 4.5 potential vorticity units) and the thermal tropopause using the WMO definition [e.g., *Homeyer et al.*, 2010], that is to say, where the temperature lapse rate falls below 2 K km^{-1} for at least 2 km.

The meteorological fields used to compute the DMPs are obtained from either the GEOS-FP version 2.94 system or the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis [*Gelaro et al.*, 2017]. The GEOS-FP fields allow the DMPs to be computed concurrently with the Level 2 processing, whereas the MERRA-2 DMPs are generated approximately one month later, as soon as the MERRA-2 data become available. *It is recommended that the MERRA-2 DMPs be used when available, as they are considered more accurate.*

The Aura MLS DMPs are available from the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Earth Science Data and Information Services Center (GES-DISC, see <https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/>). These DMPs are computed using the JETPAC (Jet and Tropopause Products for Analysis and Characterization) algorithms described in detail by *Manney et al.* [2011, 2014, 2017], *Manney and Hegglin* [2018], and *Millán et al.* [2023].

2 Data Format

The DMPs are stored in standard HDF-EOS (version 5) files, which contains swaths in the Aura-wide standard format. For more information on this format see *Craig et al.* [2003]. The DMPs files are named according to the following convention:

MLS-Aura.L2EDMP-<MetInfo>-<vvv>_<MLSver>_<YYYY>d<DOY>.he5

where <MetInfo> is the meteorological fields used (e.g., GEOS5MERRA2 or GEOS5294), <vvv> is the JETPAC version (currently v201), <MLSver> is the MLS version (including cycle number), and <YYYY> and <DOY> are the year and day number in that year (001 = 1 January), respectively.

The variables included in each of these files are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Contents of MLS DMP files. See main text for details.

| Name in file | Description | Units |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Altitude | Altitude | km |
| DTDZ | Lapse Rate | K km ⁻¹ |
| DynTropAltitude | Dynamical tropopause altitude | km |
| DynTropDTDZ | Dynamical tropopause lapse rate | K km ⁻¹ |
| DynTropFlag* | Dynamical tropopause flag | unitless |
| DynTropPressure | Dynamical tropopause pressure | hPa |
| DynTropStaticStability | Dynamical tropopause static stability | s ⁻² |
| DynTropTemperature | Dynamical tropopause temperature | K |
| DynTropTheta | Dynamical tropopause potential temperature | K |
| GeopotentialHeight | Geopotential height | km |
| HorizontalPVGradient* | Normalized Horizontal (Isentropic) sPV Gradient | unitless |
| HorizontalTemperatureGradient* | Horizontal (isobaric) Temperature Gradient | K km ⁻¹ |
| MeridionalCompOfPVGrad* | Normalizing factor for Horizontal sPV Gradient | s ⁻¹ |
| MeridionalWind | Meridional wind | m/s |
| MontgomeryStreamFunction* | Montgomery Stream Function | m ² s ⁻² |
| PVEquivalentLatitude* | Equivalent Latitude | degrees |
| PotentialVorticity | Potential vorticity | K m ² (kg s) ⁻¹ |
| RelativeVorticity | Relative vorticity | 10 ⁴ s ⁻¹ |
| ScaledPV* | Scaled PV | s ⁻¹ |
| StaticStability* | Static stability | s ⁻² |
| Temperature | Temperature | K |
| Theta | Potential temperature | K |
| WMOtropAltitude | WMO tropopause altitude | km |
| WMOtropPV | WMO tropopause potential vorticity | K m ² (kg s) ⁻¹ |
| WMOtropPressure | WMO tropopause pressure | hPa |
| WMOtropStaticStability | WMO tropopause static stability | s ⁻² |
| WMOtropTemperature | WMO tropopause temperature | K |
| WMOtropTheta | WMO tropopause potential temperature | K |
| ZonalWind | Zonal wind | m/s |

*see descriptions in main text.

Specific fields are:

DynTropFlag: Flag indicating if the dynamical tropopause was determined using PV (**DynTropFlag** is zero) or potential temperature (**DynTropFlag** is one). Dynamical tropopauses are defined by an isentropic surface (380 K) wherever the PV contour definition would place it at a higher potential temperature [*Schoeberl, 2004; Manney et al., 2011*].

HorizontalPVgGradient: The normalized (by the global average on that isentropic level) magnitude of the horizontal gradient of sPV on isentropic surfaces.

ScaledPV: To facilitate applicability across multiple potential temperature levels, PV is scaled by dividing by a standard value of static stability as described by *Dunkerton and Delisi [1986]* and *Manney et al. [1994]*.

Static_Stability: The buoyancy (Brunt-Väisälä) frequency, N^2 , that is, the stability of the atmosphere in hydrostatic equilibrium with respect to vertical displacements.

PVEquivalentLatitude: A quasi-Lagrangian coordinate widely used in stratospheric studies (e.g., *Butchart and Remsberg [1986]*). Simply put, EqL is the latitude that would enclose the same area between it and the pole as the corresponding potential vorticity contour.

MeridionalCompOfPVGrad: The average value of the magnitude of the sPV gradient on each isentropic surface that is used to normalize **HorizontalPVGradient**.

HorizontalTemperatureGradient: Magnitude of horizontal gradient of temperature on pressure surfaces.

MontgomeryStreamFunction: The Montgomery stream function [*Montgomery, 1937*], is approximated as $M = gz + c_p T$ where g is the acceleration due to gravity, z the height of the isentropic surface used, c_p the specific heat of air at constant pressure, and T is temperature. This is a streamfunction appropriate for isentropic coordinates.

3 Data Screening

Bad data are set to a value of 1×10^{15} and should not be used.

References

- Butchart, N., and E. Remsberg, The Area of the Stratospheric Polar Vortex as a Diagnostic for Tracer Transport on an Isentropic Surface, *Journal Atmospheric Science*, 43, 1319–1339, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1986)043<1319:TAOTSP>2.0.CO;2, 1986.
- Craig, C., K. Stone, D. Cuddy, S. Lewicki, P. Veefkind, P. Leonard, A. Fleig, and P. Wagner, HDF-EOS Aura File Format Guidelines, *Technical report*, National Center for Atmospheric Research, 2003.
- Dunkerton, T. J., and D. P. Delisi, Evolution of potential vorticity in the winter stratosphere of January-February 1979, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91(D1), 1199, doi:10.1029/jd091id01p01199, 1986.
- Gelaro, R., et al., The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), *Journal of Climate*, 30(14), 5419–5454, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-16-0758.1, 2017.
- Homeyer, C. R., K. P. Bowman, and L. L. Pan, Extratropical tropopause transition layer characteristics from high-resolution sounding data, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115(D13), doi:10.1029/2009JD013664, d13108, 2010.
- Livesey, N. J., et al., Version 5.0x Level 2 and 3 data quality and description document, *Tech. Rep. JPL D-105336 Rev. B*, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 2022.
- Manney, G. L., and M. I. Hegglin, Seasonal and regional variations of long-term changes in upper-tropospheric jets from reanalyses, *Journal of Climate*, 31(1), 423–448, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-17-0303.1, 2018.
- Manney, G. L., R. W. Zurek, A. O’Neill, and R. Swinbank, On the motion of air through the stratospheric polar vortex, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 51(20), 2973–2994, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<2973:otmoat>2.0.co;2, 1994.
- Manney, G. L., M. Hegglin, W. H. Daffer, M. J. Schwartz, M. L. Santee, and S. Pawson, Climatology of Upper Tropospheric–Lower Stratospheric (UTLS) Jets and Tropopauses in MERRA, *Journal of Climate*, 27, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00243.1, 2014.
- Manney, G. L., et al., Solar occultation satellite data and derived meteorological products: Sampling issues and comparisons with Aura Microwave Limb Sounder, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112(D24), doi:10.1029/2007JD008709, d24S50, 2007.
- Manney, G. L., et al., Jet characterization in the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (UTLS): applications to climatology and transport studies, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(12), 6115–6137, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6115-2011, 2011.
- Manney, G. L., et al., Reanalysis comparisons of upper tropospheric–lower stratospheric jets and multiple tropopauses, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(18), 11,541–11,566, doi:10.5194/acp-17-11541-2017, 2017.
- Millán, L. F., et al., Multi-parameter dynamical diagnostics for upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric studies, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 16(11), 2957–2988, doi:10.5194/amt-16-2957-2023, 2023.

- Montgomery, R. B., A Suggested Method for Representing Gradient Flow in Isentropic Surfaces, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 18(6-7), 210–212, doi:10.1175/1520-0477-18.6-7.210, 1937.
- Schoeberl, M. R., Extratropical stratosphere-troposphere mass exchange, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D13), doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004525, 2004.
- Waters, J. W., et al., The Earth observing system microwave limb sounder (EOS MLS) on the aura Satellite, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 44(5), 1075–1092, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.873771, 2006.